Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,704	KATAOKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>New</u>	
(1) Marianne L. Padgett.	(3)	
(2) <u>Daimon Vadnais</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2007</u>	Time: Afternoon	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 3 & 9		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	the examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
Marianne Al Del		
PRIMARY EXAMINER	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nnt/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 9/14/2007, agreed to cancel nonelected claim 9 & discussed amending claim 3 to specify when the heat treatment therein takes place, in order for the limitation to be more meaningful, however awaiting input from inventors in Japan.

As of 9/17/2007, the Japanese applicants were not available due to a holiday, and since there is nothing formally incorrect about claim 3, no changes will be made therein at this time.